181-156 181-107 Case No. 181 Case No. 18

\*\* <u>AWARD</u> - Type of Award <u>Correction</u> - Date of Award <u>11. Mar 87</u> <u>2</u> pages in English <u>2</u> pages in Farsi

\*\* <u>DECISION</u> - Date of Decision \_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ pages in English \_\_\_\_\_ pages in Farsi

\*\* CONCURRING OPINION of

- Date \_\_\_\_\_ pages in English \_\_\_\_\_ pages in Farsi

\*\* SEPARATE OPINION of \_\_\_\_\_

- Date \_\_\_\_\_ pages in English \_\_\_\_\_ pages in Farsi

\*\* DISSENTING OPINION of

- Date \_\_\_\_\_ pages in English \_\_\_\_\_ pages in Farsi

\*\* OTHER; Nature of document: \_\_\_\_\_

- Date \_\_\_\_\_ pages in English \_\_\_\_\_ pages in Farsi

## **IRAN-UNITED STATES CLAIMS TRIBUNAL**

ديوان داورى دعاوى أيران - ايالات متحرب CASE NO. 181 **156** CHAMBER ONE **156** AWARD NO. 294-181-1

BECHTEL, INC., BECHTEL PETROLEUM, INC., BECHTEL INTERNATIONAL, INC., OVERSEAS BECHTEL, INC., AMERICAN BECHTEL, INC., BECHTEL POWER CORPORATION, Claimants,

and

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND RENOVATION ORGANIZATION, IRAN ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTORS, Respondents.

IRAN UNI CLAIMS T		د ادگاه د اوری د عاری ایران ایا لات متحده	
<b>ثبت ش</b> ــد - FILED			
Ditt	1 2 MAR 1987 1870 / 18/ 8 1	عاريني	
No.	181	»ام <sup>يا</sup>	

## CORRECTION TO AWARD

The following correction is hereby made to the Award in this Case:

Page 18, paragraph 51, lines 11 and 12 should read

"Article 719 of the Iranian Civil Procedure Code"

instead of

"Article 719 of the Iranian Civil Code".

DUPL

A copy of the corrected page is attached.

Dated, The Hague 11 March 1987

Böckstiegel

Karl-Heinz Böckst Chairman Chamber One

In the Name of God

Howard M. Holtzmann

Mohsen Mostafavi

concludes that OBI rendered the contractually required services.

49. The Respondents contend that the services were not for IEC, but rather for another company, and any claim under the Agreement would thus have to be made against that company. However, while the Claimants acknowledge the exercise by another company of ultimate direction of the project, it is clear from the Agreement that the party with which OBI contracted for the services in question was IEC.

50. OBI submitted its final invoice together with a summary of the total amount due of \$40,650.51 to IEC on 20 June 1979. This amount has not been paid. The Claimants have demonstrated to the Tribunal's satisfaction that the payment provisions of the Agreement were not, as the Respondents argue, unfair. Absent any other objection to the amount claimed, the Tribunal determines that OBI is entitled to \$40,650.51 under this heading.

51. OBI claims interest on the above amount at the rate of 12 percent, based on the following provision of the Agreement: "Amounts owed . . . shall accrue interest . . . at the lesser of (1) rate equal to two percent (2%) above the prime lending rate quoted . . . on ninety-day loans by the Bank of America N.T. & S.A., San Francisco, California . . . or (2) the maximum rate permitted by applicable law". The Claimants state that since the prime rate for the relevant period is higher, the second alternative, that Iranian law governs, applies, and they state that the maximum rate permitted by Article 719 of the Iranian Civil Procedure Code is 12 percent.

52. The Respondents generally deny the Claimants' entitlement to interest, but as they stated at the Hearing, have not specifically contested any aspects of the Claimants' interest calculations. Because the Claimants'