	ديوان داورى دعادى ايران - ايالات متحدة CLAIMS TRIBUNAL ديوان داورى دعادى ايران - ايالات متحدة المحالي ديوان داورى دعادى ايران - ايالات متحدة 12.7
- •	ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS IN SAFE
	Case No. <u>366</u> Date of filing: <u>6.NOV 87</u>
	** AWARD - Type of Award Corsection
	** <u>AWARD</u> - Type of Award <u>CONCENTION</u> - Date of Award <u>6 NOB 7</u> pages in English pages in Farsi
24 N.	
	<pre>** DECISION - Date of Decision pages in English pages in Farsi</pre>
	** CONCURRING OPINION of
	- Date pages in English pages in Farsi
	** SEPARATE OPINION of
	- Date
	pages in English pages in Farsi
5	** DISSENTING OPINION of
	- Date
	pages in English pages in Farsi
	** OTHER; Nature of document:
	- Date pages in English pages in Farsi

*.* 

•

	$1 \wedge f$
IRAN-UNITED STATES CLAIMS TRIBUNAL	دیوان داوری دعاوی ایران - ایالات متحد
ORIGINAL ANDO LABORATORIES, INC.,	CASE NO. 366 CHAMBER THREE AWARD NO. 325-366-3
Claimant,	دادگاه دارری دعاری (RAN UNITED STATES) CLAIMS TRIBUNAL ایران ایا لات تحده (CLAIMS TRIBUNAL
THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, TRASSPHARM TRADING COMPANY, IRAN WALLACE COMPANY, DAROUPAKSH, and	Date 6 NOV 1987 out
BONAYAD MOSTAZAFAN,	No. shi

No.

366

## CORRECTION TO AWARD

The following correction is hereby made in the English version of the Award in this Case filed on 3 November 1987:

At page 21, paragraph 53, line 3, replace "in a fair rate" with "is a fair rate".

A copy of the corrected page is attached.

Respondents.

Dated, The Hague,

6 November 1987

Μ. Virally Chairman Chamber Three

In the Name of God

Charles N. Brower

Parviz Ansari Moin My opinion is the same as set forth in the Award. As no such future business relations ever materialized, the Claimant is entitled to claim the full amount of its contract claim. It is clear that under the contract the Claimant was entitled to payment of U.S.\$228,753.56 upon making available the corresponding amount of goods to Trasspharm. Trasspharm was under a contractual duty to accept those items and pay that amount. Subtracting the 25% commission which the Claimant admitted would have been credited if Trasspharm had accepted and paid for the goods, the Tribunal finds that the Claimant is entitled to damages for breach of contract in the amount of U.S.\$171,565.17.

## IV. INTEREST

53. The Claimant seeks interest on the amounts awarded. The Tribunal determines that the Claimant is entitled to interest and that 10% per annum is a fair rate.

54. The invoice for the second shipment required payment 120 days after shipment; shipment was effected 29 November 1978. The Tribunal therefore awards interest on the amount of U.S.\$80,159.56 beginning 1 April 1979.

55. Trasspharm was aware, at the latest by 30 January 1979, that the goods for the third shipment were ready to ship. The Tribunal finds that Trasspharm should have ordered the goods by that date and that consequently payment would have been due in 120 days. Accordingly, the Tribunal awards interest on the amount of U.S.\$171,565.17 beginning 30 May 1979.